Cookies for Kernel Developers

Nikolai Kondrashov, Red Hat @spbnick

> Major Hayden, Red Hat @majorhayden

CK

Who are we?



Nikolai Kondrashov

Senior Software Engineer Red Hat

DIGImend project maintainer. Enjoys electronics and embedded as a hobby.



Major Hayden

Principal Software Engineer Red Hat

Owner of too many domain names, including icanhazip.com.

(Please do not give me any other ideas for domain names to buy.) ;)

Continuous Kernel Integration project



"Cookie"

A team from Red Hat's Base Operating Systems and Global QE

- China
- Czech Republic
- Finland
- United States





IT #WORLDCHOCOLATEDAY!!! Me celebrating with lots of chocolate chip COOOOKIES! @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @ @



Maintaining stable kernels is a complex and difficult task

FIG. I

Photo credit: https://en.m.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:SIGABA-patent.png

A developer writes a patchset that gets merged into the mainline* kernel tree

* Patches that arrive here are included in the next major kernel release.

Time passes



The patchset becomes part of Greg's* stable kernel release

* Possibly the most efficient kernel developer on Earth

Time passes



A Linux distribution maintainer finds a bug or security problem* with that patch

* After a lengthy investigation, bisection, and debugging

Distribution maintainer contacts the original developer



Time passes



By this time, the original developer can't remember why they wrote the patch or what is in the patch



This is a frustrating, time consuming process.

What if we could find that problematic patch **before it is ever merged**?

We built CI for kernel contributions

High-level process overview



Watch git for commits, patchwork for patches

Clone the kernel tree, Apply patches (optional), Compile and test the kernel CI results go to kernel mailing lists as part of the original email thread



Checkout, apply patches if any, compile the kernels Find hardware, install the kernels, run tests



Red Hat maintains many kernel tests and can run them on hard-to-get hardware platforms

Including everyone's favorite: IA-64!

Photo credit: Wikipedia

Test suites onboarded so far



•••

Architectures

INTEL

AMD

x86_64

ppc64le

aarch64

s390x

AppliedMicro Cavium Qualcomm Ampere Hisilicon

IBM POWER8

IBM z12 IBM z13

Platforms & Peripherals



What are we doing for upstream kernels today?

It all started in a conversation with Greg KH...

PASS: Test report for kernel 4.20.1.cki (linux-stable-rc)

[Date Prev][Date Next][Thread Prev][Thread Next][Date Index][Thread Index]

- Subject: PASS: Test report for kernel 4.20.1.cki (linux-stable-rc)
- From: CKI <cki-project@xxxxxxxxx>
- Date: Wed, 9 Jan 2019 17:58:58 -0500

Hello,

We ran automated tests on a recent commit from this kernel tree:

```
Kernel repo: git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/stable/linux-stable-rc.git
Commit: 8c3f48e8c288 Linux 4.20.1
```

The results of these automated tests are provided below.

Overall result: PASSED Patch merge: OK Compile: OK Kernel tests: OK

Please reply to this email if you have any questions about the tests that we ran or if you have any suggestions on how to make future tests more effective.

, . , . . (C)(K) Continuous `-',-,`-' Kernel (I) Integration × 1

```
Compile testing
```

.....

We compiled the kernel for 4 architectures:

s390x:

make options: make INSTALL_MOD_STRIP=1 - j64 targz-pkg - j64 configuration: <u>https://artifacts.cki-project.org/builds/s390x/8c3f48e8c28823378274d2342a2ff1442a4af55f.config</u>

powerpc64le:

make options: make INSTALL_MOD_STRIP=1 -j64 targz-pkg -j64 configuration: <u>https://artifacts.cki-project.org/builds/ppc64le/8c3f48e8c28823378274d2342a2ff1442a4af55f.config</u>

aarch64:

make options: make INSTALL_MOD_STRIP=1 -j64 targz-pkg -j64 configuration: <u>https://artifacts.cki-project.org/builds/aarch64/8c3f48e8c28823378274d2342a2ff1442a4af55f.config</u>

x86_64:

make options: make INSTALL_MOD_STRIP=1 -j64 targz-pkg -j64 configuration: <u>https://artifacts.cki-project.org/builds/x86_64/8c3f48e8c28823378274d2342a2ff1442a4af55f.config</u> Hardware testing

......

We booted each kernel and ran the following tests:

s390:

Boot test

- URL: <u>https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#distribution/kpkginstall</u>/distribution/command

LTP lite - release 20180926

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#distribution/ltp/lite Memory function: memfd_create

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#/memory/function/memfd_create Networking route: pmtu

URL: <u>https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#/networking/route/pmtu</u>
 AMTU (Abstract Machine Test Utility)

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#misc/amtu

powerpc:

Boot test

- URL: <u>https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#distribution/kpkginstall</u>/distribution/command

LTP lite - release 20180926

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#distribution/ltp/lite xfstests: ext4

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#/filesystems/xfs/xfstests xfstests: xfs

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#/filesystems/xfs/xfstests Memory function: memfd_create

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#/memory/function/memfd_create Networking route: pmtu

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#/networking/route/pmtu AMTU (Abstract Machine Test Utility)

- URL: https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#misc/amtu Usex - version 1.9-29

URL: <u>https://github.com/CKI-project/tests-beaker/archive/master.zip#standards/usex/1.9-29</u>

Stable queue: queue-4.20

[Date Prev][Date Next][Thread Prev][Thread Next][Date Index][Thread Index] G.

- Subject: Stable queue: queue-4.20
- From: CKI <cki-project@xxxxxxxxx>
- Date: Fri, 11 Jan 2019 05:54:06 -0500

Hello,

We ran automated tests on a patchset that was proposed for merging into this kernel tree. The patches were applied to:

```
Kernel repo: git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/stable/linux.git
Commit: 8c3f48e8c288 Linux 4.20.1
```

The results of these automated tests are provided below.

Overall result: PASSED Patch merge: OK Compile: OK Kernel tests: OK

Please reply to this email if you have any questions about the tests that we ran or if you have any suggestions on how to make future tests more effective.

(C)(K) Continuous ',..'' Kernel (I) Integration Merge testing

We cloned this repository and checked out a ref:

```
Repo: git://git.kernel.org/pub/scm/linux/kernel/git/stable/linux.git
Ref: 8c3f48e8c288 Linux 4.20.1
```

```
We then merged the following patches with `git am`:
```

scsi-zfcp-fix-posting-too-many-status-read-buffers-leading-to-adapter-shutdown.patch scsi-lpfc-do-not-set-queue-page count-to-0-if-pc sli4 params.wqpcnt-is-invalid.patch fork-record-start time-late.patch zram-fix-double-free-backing-device.patch hwpoison-memory hotplug-allow-hwpoisoned-pages-to-be-offlined.patch mm-devm memremap pages-mark-devm memremap pages-export symbol gpl.patch mm-devm memremap pages-kill-mapping-system-ram-support.patch mm-devm memremap pages-fix-shutdown-handling.patch memcg-oom-notify-on-oom-killer-invocation-from-the-charge-path.patch sunrpc-fix-cache head-leak-due-to-queued-request.patch sunrpc-use-svc net-in-svcauth qss -functions.patch mm-devm memremap pages-add-memory device private-support.patch mm-hmm-use-devm-semantics-for-hmm devmem -add-remove.patch mm-hmm-replace-hmm devmem pages create-with-devm memremap pages.patch mm-hmm-mark-hmm devmem -add-add resource-export symbol gpl.patch mm-swap-fix-swapoff-with-ksm-pages.patch media-cx23885-only-reset-dma-on-problematic-cpus.patch alsa-cs46xx-potential-null-dereference-in-probe.patch alsa-usb-audio-avoid-access-before-blength-check-in-build audio procunit.patch alsa-usb-audio-check-mixer-unit-descriptors-more-strictly.patch alsa-usb-audio-fix-an-out-of-bound-read-in-create composite quirks.patch





tests++

trees++

latency--

Next

open(logs)

open(docs)

open(planning)

Get your commits tested



Write to <u>cki-project@redhat.com</u> We evaluate impact on RHEL

You get your reports!

Write to <u>cki-project@redhat.com</u> We evaluate impact on RHEL

Together we add a wrapper for Beaker

You maintain it, we run it!

Why is Red Hat doing this?

We want better RHEL*

÷

*Red Hat Enterprise Linux

Photo credit: Wikipedia

Get involved

https://github.com/cki-project https://gitlab.com/cki-project

Thank you!

Ask some questions and get some (real) cookies. (2) (2) (2)

Got questions after the talk? E-mail <u>cki-project@redhat.com</u> Visit <u>https://cki-project.org/</u> (slides on the website soon!)